

Anomalies Register — Lines of Enquiry

Version 1.0.0 · 2026-10-23

Editors of the Great House Farm Legal Wiki

Table of Contents

1	Release notes	1
1.1	This release (version 1.0.0).	1
1.2	Older versions	1
2	Record	1
2.1	Anomalies and lines of enquiry currently requiring investigation	2
2.2	New planning anomalies — 28 September 2026.	20
2.3	Legal references	21
2.4	Supplementary planning anomalies — 28 September 2026	21
2.5	Page version & change log	22

Page 599 of the Great House Farm Legal Wiki, carried over from the version last modified on 2026-10-21. The same page is published at research.bucklerfamily.estate, and this version is also a [PDF](#) in the wayback archive.

1 Release notes

1.1 This release (version 1.0.0)

- **2026-Oct-23** - Carried over to the docs library from Legal Wiki page 599 (last modified 2026-10-21 19:11:35 UTC). The page text, dates and references are as on the wiki. Links to wiki pages lead to the same page on research.bucklerfamily.estate; images are links to their files on the wiki.

1.2 Older versions

None yet.

2 Record

This material now lives at <https://fcg.bucklerfamily.estate/case/anomalies-register>.

Page ID: 599 · **Title:** Anomalies Register — Lines of Enquiry · **Evidence status:** Not yet labelled — see the Editing Protocol · **Version:** 0.1.1 · [Editing Protocol](#)

Register opened: 25 September 2026. Formal... .. [read more](#)

Register opened: 25 September 2026. **Formal consolidation date:** 25 September 2026. Individual entries retain the earlier date on which the underlying document, response or issue was identified where that date is known. Where it is not known, the entry says so rather than inventing a discovery date.

Control correction — 9 October 2026: the... .. [read more](#)

Control correction — 9 October 2026: the primary case, resting on Mary (Nancy) Williams’s witness statement c.1974 ([Mary \(Nancy\) Williams testimony](#)), is that the 1877 arrangement took effect in 1928 and full Parcel A includes the farmhouse/buildings, barn, stable and west-side yard, garden, north forest and south-east ground later registered as VG41. Parcel B is the separate eastern agricultural fields. [SOURCE PENDING] The 1949 permissive-occupancy attempt failed and the 1955 enforcement of Parcel B seized Parcel-A forest and green under “whole farm” wording.

A-032 is closed because the 1939 plan is already obtained and Parcel-B-only.

Method and evidence key: [Main Page](#) · [title...](#) ... [read more](#)

Method and evidence key: [Main Page](#) · [title test](#). Background facts are linked to their home pages rather than retold in this register.

2.1 Anomalies and lines of enquiry currently requiring investigation

ID	Issue	Present concern / question	Evidence or lead	Discovery / formalisation date	Status
A-001	ITV footage — incomplete coverage	A five-second clip of Great House Farm has been obtained from ITV coverage broadcast between a sports programme. The remainder has not yet been located. What was the complete broadcast, and what did the unseen material contain?	Existing five-second clip; ITV archive, transmission records, production files and metadata.	25 Sep 2026 register entry; clip obtained earlier.	Open
A-002	ITV footage — abrupt editing / omissions	A separate ITV clip contains abrupt transitions and apparent omissions. It does not mention Branwen being pregnant or the children. The issue is whether this is ordinary editorial selection, loss of source material, or something requiring explanation.?	Existing clip; original master, rushes, edit decision list, transmission copy and editorial notes if retained.	25 Sep 2026 formal entry.	Open — no inference of redaction yet
A-003	Planning file — missing annexes?	The 1989–90 planning material appears to be missing annexes referred to by the officer's report (see A-048, PL-003, PL-004). The family's understanding is that some submissions gave reasons against demolition of the historic farmhouse.?	89/01396/OUT officer's report; Appendices B–E; complete Council file; archive box/listing records. Redevelopment .	25 Sep 2026 formal entry.	Open

A-004	Post-demolition planning sequence	After the 1988 demolition, BP returned to the planning process. Did the planning authority record, question or reassess the disappearance of the historic building?	89/01396/OUT; 92/00671/RES; site inspection notes; officer reports; conditions and discharge records.	25 Sep 2026 formal entry.	Open
A-005	Planning policy requiring retention	The development-plan material identified in the GGAT review indicates a policy allocation with supporting text saying the buildings on the site should be retained. Why was demolition nevertheless permitted and how was that conflict addressed? (See also PL-002.)	South Glamorgan Structure Plan / Policy H.2; officer report; decision notice; later planning documents. Redevelopment .	21 Sep 2026 evidence review; formal entry 25 Sep 2026.	Open — verify against original policy
A-006	House of Lords records — catalogue/disclosure chronology	The relevant House of Lords Main Papers series was released onto Discovery on 20 May 2026, while earlier searches reportedly did not locate it [SOURCE PENDING]. On 23 September 2026 TNA identified YHL/PO/JO/10/11/2536 containing BP Properties Ltd v Buckler material.	TNA correspondence; Discovery catalogue history; YHL/PO/JO/10/11/2536; related JO/JU/14 and appeal-committee material. Records Access Chronology .	20 May 2026 catalogue release; 23 Sep 2026 identification.	Open — reconstruct search and release history
A-007	TNA earlier searches — title /description limitation	TNA reported an August 2026 keyword search across titles /descriptions rather than the contents of records. The question is whether the earlier search method could have failed to identify the judicial papers that were later found.	TNA 12 Aug 2026 search response; later CAS-347296-M0V4Y1 file handling.	12 Aug 2026 / 23 Sep 2026.	Open

A-008	HMLR first registration — extent of land	The central title question is whether the land registered to BP in the 1982 first registration actually included the House Plot / Parcel A or only land supported by the documentary chain.	WA231076; WA240304; FR1/application bundle; deeds; plans; abstract; requisitions; historic registers.	25 Sep 2026 formal entry.	Open — primary file essential
A-009	Potential register mistake as to extent	If the 1982 application included land not comprised in BP's documentary title, the register may have been mistaken as to extent. This is an issue for Schedule 4 alteration/rectification analysis, not a conclusion that the register is wrong.	First-registration file and title plans; LRA 2002 Schedule 4.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-010	Possible lack of proper care in first registration	If BP or its agent applied to register the House Plot despite an excluding conveyance or without bringing the occupied plot and claimant to the Registrar's attention, did that cause or substantially contribute to any registration mistake?	FR1, conveyances, solicitor correspondence, plans, declarations and requisitions.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — depends on file evidence
A-011	Mary (Nancy) Williams, formerly Buckler — notice / actual occupation	Mary (Nancy) Williams was living in the farmhouse during first registration. Did the Registrar make the required enquiry of her, identify her correctly, and record any rights arising from her occupation? Scrutiny page: 1982–83 registration & opportunity to object.	First-registration file; notices/service proofs; address records; occupation evidence; LRA 1925 s.70(1)(g).	25 Sep 2026.	Open

A-012	Mary (Nancy) Williams — name mismatch	Documents appear to use both “Mrs Williams” and “Mrs Buckler”. The question is whether identification was sufficient for service and whether a name mismatch impeded notice to the actual occupier.	Registration file; notices; service records; marriage certificate; 1974 correspondence.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-013	1935 marriage certificate — purpose and provenance	The family is investigating why the 1935 marriage certificate was obtained/used and whether it was used to connect “Mrs Williams” with “Mrs Buckler”. There is presently no basis to state that it was obtained to avoid notifying Mary.	Original registration/correspondence file; provenance of certificate; contemporaneous correspondence. Marriage: Frederick Buckler .	25 Sep 2026.	Open — test purpose from primary records
A-014	1962 possession order — exact land described	Did the 11 December 1962 possession order concern the same legal parcel later registered to BP, or did it concern the farmhouse /garden as a separately described possession subject?	Order, pleadings, particulars, plan, schedule and enforcement file.	25 Sep 2026.	Open

A-015	1955 “whole farm” wording — formal authority and downstream conflation	The family’s established account is that on 4 July 1955 enforcement of Parcel B also seized the Parcel-A north forest and south-east ground later registered as VG41. Compare the order/process wording and any plan against the full Parcel-A boundary and separate Parcel B, then trace how the wording and physical extent were carried into later conveyances/registration. Do not treat the reported seizure (SOURCE PENDING) as an unanswered generic question.	1955 order/process materials; 1962 farmhouse /garden proceedings; later title plans and registration file.	Updated 9 Oct 2026.	Open — scope, title consequences and remedy
A-016	1974 licence — legal identity and land	The 31 October 1974 letters offered Mrs Buckler / Mrs Williams occupation of the farmhouse. Did the correspondence identify the same land later registered to BP, and what did the letters assume about title?	Letters; 1974 pleadings and notices; property description; 1987 judgment.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-017	1974 notice / appeal issue	Mary (Nancy) Williams’s solicitors reportedly challenged the September 1974 enforcement order on notice grounds. What exactly was argued, what documents were before the court, and what was ultimately decided?	1974 appeal notice, stay application, order, correspondence and court file.	25 Sep 2026.	Open

A-018	1987 judgment — scope of what was actually decided	The 1987 judgment is treated as a central primary source. A separate question remains whether the precise documentary root /title extent of a distinct House Plot was necessary to and finally determined by that judgment (what the judgments omit).	[1987] EWCA Civ 2; 1987 /88 case papers; property descriptions and plans.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — legal analysis required
A-019	1987 judgment — possible new evidence / material non-disclosure	If primary documents now found show that the material facts presented to the court were false, incomplete or materially misleading, could the judgment be challenged on that basis?	House of Lords papers; 1987 bundle; first-registration file; title deeds/plans; newly recovered records.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — requires actual new evidence
A-020	Fraud / deliberate concealment — Takhar route	The family is investigating whether any conscious and material dishonesty or concealment of evidence was an operative cause of an earlier judgment. This is a legal gateway to test, not a finding that fraud occurred. ?	New primary evidence; BP/WGR solicitor files; court papers; concealed-document chronology. See Takhar.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — primary evidence required
A-021	Limitation — deliberate concealment / mistake	If a relevant fact was deliberately concealed, or the claim concerns relief from a mistake, what effect, if any, does Limitation Act 1980 s.32 have on when time began to run? Deliberate concealment must be distinguished from mere negligence or missing records. ?	Discovery chronology; concealed-document evidence; relevant title /registration records. See s.32 and Canada Square.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — counsel required

A-022	CPR 52.30 — reopening the final appeal	What procedural mechanism, if any, could be examined in relation to the final appeal, and what factual material would that examination require?	1987/88 appeal record; any proven process corruption; new evidence.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — procedural route under examination
A-023	LRA Schedule 4 — alteration / rectification	If the register included land through mistake, can the register be altered or rectified, and do the proprietor-in-possession safeguards permit it on the facts?	WA231076/WA240304; Schedule 4; HMLR Practice Guide 83.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-024	LRA Schedule 8 — indemnity if rectification unavailable	If a registered-title mistake is established but rectification is not available, is there a statutory indemnity route for loss? [?]	HMLR Practice Guide 39; Schedule 8; valuation and causation evidence.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — separate remedy question
A-025	1975 blue plan versus 1982 pink plan	Do the 1975 and 1982 plans show separate parcels, and was a House Plot excluded from the 1975 conveyance but later brought within WA231076?	WA240304 plan; WA231076 plan; conveyances; historic title editions.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — decisive parcel test
A-026	WA240304 — later closure / amalgamation	Why was WA240304 created, what land did it comprise, why was it later closed /amalgamated, and precisely what land entered WA231076 as a result?	WA240304 application, plan, register history, amalgamation form and WA231076 item 14.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-027	Possible synthetic / reconstructed Parcel A	The working hypothesis is that a later document or composite parcel may have been used to reconstruct a “whole farm” description without the genuine documentary chain for the House Plot. This must be proved or disproved document-by-document.	1876–78 instruments; 1916; 1928; 1938–39; 1975; 1982–83; plans and registration applications.	25 Sep 2026.	Open hypothesis — no fabrication finding

A-028	Missing 1876–78 instrument — corroboration of the established 1928 title case ?	The family’s established title case is that the 1877 arrangement took effect in 1928 and conveyed the full Parcel A, including the north forest and south-east green ground later registered as VG41, to the Williams family. The missing original prevents word-for-word testing of its operative terms and plan; it does not turn the established title case into a blank hypothesis. Search only for surviving copies, recitals and independent corroboration; keep fraud /concealment separate from the document gap. ?	D153-related references; Bute estate records; Daniel Thomas business papers. See M-010.	Updated 9 Oct 2026.	Open — corroboration and provenance
A-029	1928 effect — title corroboration and later mutation	The family’s established case is that the 1877 arrangement took effect in 1928, when quarrying and rent to Thomas ended, and the full Parcel A passed to the Williams family. The remaining work is to corroborate the completion/title chain, succession and provenance, and trace how later documents and registration blurred Parcel A with separate Parcel B. Do not reopen Parcel-A /Parcel-B identity as an unanswered question.	Mary’s statement; 1928 receipt/rent chronology; John Williams succession; 1905, 1924, 1961, 1969 /1971, 1975 and 1982–83 title documents. See M-011, M-043.	Updated 9 Oct 2026.	Open — corroboration and downstream chain
A-030	1916 two-parcel tenancy	Does the original 1916 agreement and plan prove two separate parcels, and how were those parcels described for later title purposes?	Original 1916 agreement and plan; estate register; subsequent 1938–39 documents. See M-042.	25 Sep 2026.	Open

A-031	1938 WGR conveyance	What exact land and estate was conveyed in 1938, and did it include the House Plot?	Complete conveyance, schedule and plan; auction particulars and WGR records. See M-012, M-044.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-032	1939 WGR / John Williams tenancy — CLOSED	The agreement and plan are already held and analysed. Established result: the plan depicts Parcel B, the eastern agricultural fields, only; it does not depict Parcel A. No further acquisition or query about the plan's parcel scope is required. Its value is as closed evidence distinguishing the 1939 agricultural tenancy from the full Parcel-A title case. ?	DBDT123/7; associated plan; see M-013, M-045 and the evidence item DBDT123/7.	Closed 9 Oct 2026.	Closed — Parcel B only; no further retrieval ?
A-033	Land Registry abstract / 15-year chain issue	The family is investigating the tension between an earlier HMLR statement about the period /chain of title that needed to be seen and a later position that BP satisfied the registration criteria. Which exact documents were accepted and for what acreage?	HMLR correspondence; 1971 abstract; FR1; supporting deeds and plans; requisitions. Land Registry Titles.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — reconcile letter against original file
A-034	Police / search / removal of family documents in 1988	[SOURCE PENDING] During the 1988 events, police searched premises and documents/photos were removed. What exactly occurred, under what legal authority, and does an official inventory or return record survive?	Testimony of named family members; contemporary press; police/court records; inventory; exhibits and correspondence.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — SOURCE PENDING until independently verified

A-035	RCAHMW — lost Johns/Williams correspondence	The Royal Commission archive records correspondence between C. N. Johns and Mrs Buckler as lost since 1986/87. Can its provenance, contents or copies be reconstructed elsewhere?	NMR archive 6048945; Dr Adam Coward's 10 Jun 2026 response; administrative files. See M-021.	10 Jun 2026 documented response.	Open
A-036	RCAHMW — partial recording caused by ownership dispute	The Royal Commission's own archive says the house remained partially recorded because of an ownership dispute (Where things stand). What recording opportunities were missed and what records existed before their loss?	NMR 6048945; 1974 measured survey; 1988 additions; correspondence history. Archaeology .	10 Jun 2026.	Open
A-037	Cadw — missing spot-listing file ?	Cadw can produce two photographs but not the assessment file, inspector notes, decision record, decision-maker's identity, correspondence, transfer record or destruction record (Cadw 1988). What happened to the missing record?	ATISN 27021; internal review; retention/disposal records; predecessor file indexes. See M-018–M-020.	2026 FOI process; formal entry 25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-038	Cadw — YYY coding inconsistency	Cadw has given two accounts of the "YYY" marking on its photographs. Which definition applied in July 1988, and what evidence supported the listing decision?	Two Cadw explanations; photographs; survey forms; contemporary listing guidance.	2026 correspondence; formal entry 25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-039	Cadw — five-month gap	Between Cadw's 29 July 1988 photographs and the early-December listing consideration (Cadw 1988), what happened during the intervening period?	Cadw diary/inspection logs; correspondence; registry; site-notes; communications with other bodies.	25 Sep 2026.	Open

A-040	Cadw — evidence considered before refusal	Was the 1974 RCAHMW measured survey, the existing SMR record, GGAT's 1978–80 work, and the 1963 human-remains discovery actually considered before the 5 December 1988 decision?	Cadw decision file; listing assessment; inter-agency correspondence.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-041	Cadw — no recorded consultation with occupiers	Were Branwen /Mary/family members consulted or given an opportunity to provide information during the spot-listing process?	Cadw file; telephone logs; letters; inspection notes; witness evidence.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-042	Cadw / GGAT institutional relationship	Cadw funded the regional curatorial function while GGAT also undertook commercial archaeological work. Cadw later described the 1990 assessment as commissioned by BP. What communications, governance arrangements and conflict-management steps existed?	Cadw correspondence; GGAT governance /records; 1990 assessment; grant arrangements. GGAT's role.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — structural question, not collusion finding
A-043	GGAT — specify, price, bid and execute	GGAT's 1989 consultee report advised assessment before determination, specified and priced it and recorded negotiations with BP; BP then commissioned GGAT (GGAT's role). Was that arrangement sufficiently independent and properly managed?	1989 consultee report; 1990 assessment; correspondence with BP /Debenham Tewson. See M-025.	21 Sep 2026 forensic review.	Open

A-044	GGAT — dual role was disclosed	The 1990 report expressly disclosed GGAT's dual role and offered alternative advice. This fact cuts against a covert-concealment theory, but leaves the independence/governance question open.	1990 assessment.	21 Sep 2026.	Open — record both sides
A-045	GGAT — 1990 methodology versus 1994 result	The 1990 evaluation zoned uninvestigated eastern ground as "non-existent to low" potential; the 1994 excavation found burials there (GGAT's role). Was the 1990 evaluation materially inadequate by the standards and knowledge then available? (See also PL-008.)	1990 assessment; 1994 preliminary report; 2005 publication; GGAT 1978–80/1982 evidence.	21 Sep 2026.	Open — methodology review
A-046	GGAT — own prior knowledge	GGAT had previous excavations south of the same church and a 1982 letter indicating the possibility of occupation north of it. Did the 1990 predictive model properly incorporate that prior evidence?	1978–80 excavation records; 1982 letter; 1990 report. See M-028.	21 Sep 2026.	Open
A-047	GGAT — statutory burial-ground issue	The 1990 report identified the Disused Burial Grounds (Amendment) Act 1981 and encountered disarticulated human bone. Did the evaluation and subsequent planning decisions adequately answer the legal/archaeological question posed?	1990 assessment; planning conditions; 1994 excavation; legal advice if any.	21 Sep 2026.	Open

A-048	GGAT / planning — missing Appendices B–E ?	The officer's report says further GGAT comments and three further representations/response documents were attached as Appendices B–E. They are absent from the 2026 file (see A-003).	Manuscript officer's report; missing appendices; Council and Heneb archives. See M-023, M-024. ?	21 Sep 2026.	Open — locate originals
A-049	GGAT member / councillor representation	The officer's report records a representation from a local County Councillor described as a member of GGAT. Who was the councillor, what did the letter say, and did GGAT know or act on the connection? (See also PL-005.)	Missing Appendix C/D; council membership records; GGAT governance records. ?	21 Sep 2026.	Open
A-050	HER reference / indexing defects	Different bodies and documents have used different HER identifiers, and the current national record does not fully reflect the archaeological significance recorded elsewhere. Could historic indexing errors explain failed searches or incomplete disclosure?	GGAT/Heneb/Cadw /RCAHMW records; HER history; archive catalogue. HER record structure.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-051	GGAT 1990 report — late archival deposit	The 1990 assessment entered the national archaeological archive by donation in 2019. Why was the report not deposited earlier, and what field/working records survived in the interim?	Archaeology Data Service / national archive metadata; GGAT/Heneb custody records.	25 Sep 2026.	Open

A-052	Archaeological artefacts / reported Neath fire	[SOURCE PENDING] Relevant archaeological material or records were held at a property in Neath that later suffered a fire. What exact objects / records were there, who held them, and what was destroyed or recovered?	Museum accession registers; excavation archive; custody records; fire report; insurance/inventory records. See M-017, M-048.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — no inference of deliberate destruction
A-053	Amgueddfa Cymru — incomplete/other finds trail	The Museum has said its searches identified records relating to other archaeological finds from Great House Farm and other discoveries. Which records are these, and do they alter the known provenance of the “iron-work” or other material?	Amgueddfa correspondence 25 Aug 2026; accession catalogue; site records. Armour provenance.	25 Aug 2026.	Open
A-054	Later document describes house as listed	A later site/planning document reportedly describes Great House Farm as a listed building, while Cadw’s record says it was not listed (Cadw 1988). What is the source, date and basis of the later description?	Unidentified later planning/archaeological document; Cadw listing records; Cof Cymru.	Sep 2026.	Open — identify source first
A-055	Vale Council FOI search inconsistency	On 7 July 2026 the Council said no additional information had been identified within scope; on 11 September it said service areas had reverted and further historical documentation had been found, which might not itself be Council documentation but was in Council possession. What changed?	FOI 00210772 correspondence; newly found records; search instructions and custodians.	7 Jul / 11 Sep 2026.	Open

A-056	Heneb record-holder / access route	Heneb stated it was not subject to FOI because it was a limited company, while also holding the successor records/functions. What legal entity holds each historic GGAT record and which access regime applies?	Heneb correspondence; company/charity records; EIR/HE(W)A 2023 analysis.	22 May / 19 Sep 2026.	Open
A-057	Missing historic court files ?	Could the 1955, 1962 and 1974 court files contain plans, pleadings or admissions capable of resolving the parcel /title question or the notice/service questions?	Cardiff County Court / High Court records; TNA; Glamorgan Archives. See M-030–M-032.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-058	Family documents reported lost /stolen	Loss or removal of title documents and copies has long been reported (e.g. 1950–52 — Mary (Nancy) Williams, witness statement c.1974 (Mary (Nancy) Williams testimony); 1984 library copy — SOURCE PENDING). Which items were lost, when, from where, and can the losses be independently corroborated?	Testimony pages; library /archive enquiries; probate/estate papers; police reports if any.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — testimony / SOURCE PENDING unless corroborated
A-059	Witness attrition through death	Key first-hand witnesses have died across the decades, including Mary (Nancy) Williams in 1983 and later generations. The loss of living testimony is relevant to why the family could not reconstruct events earlier and to the present availability of evidence.	Death records; family correspondence; surviving statements and press reports.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — chronology / evidence-loss issue

A-060	Multi-generational trauma and delayed disclosure	[SOURCE PENDING] The 1980s events produced prolonged trauma, fear and reluctance among relatives to speak publicly or challenge the account. This is part of the record of delayed disclosure and loss of testimony.	Testimony pages; contemporaneous correspondence; diaries; any freely available corroborative records.	25 Sep 2026 formal statement.	Open — SOURCE PENDING
A-061	Claim that challengers “die” because they challenge	Some family members believe that people who challenge the matter die or become unable to pursue it. That belief is recorded here only because it forms part of the family’s explanation for silence. No evidence presently reviewed establishes that any death was caused by challenging Great House Farm issues, and the register will not treat such a causal claim as established. ?	Death chronology; independent evidence would be required for any causal proposition.	25 Sep 2026.	Recorded belief — causation unestablished
A-062	Death chronology as possible evidence-access problem	The sequence of deaths may have removed witnesses who knew the parcel history, missing deeds, eviction events or later family decisions. The issue is whether surviving records identify what each person knew or held. ?	Probate files; letters; photographs; notebooks; archive deposits; witness statements. See M-049.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-063	Uncle / inherited interest reportedly sold	[SOURCE PENDING] An uncle (“Buckee”) may have sold his interest in a parcel. The exact transaction, parcel, interest and date must be established before it can be used in the title chain or as evidence of witness /interest attrition.	Conveyance or assignment; probate; family papers; Land Registry /estate records. See M-046.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — currently unconfirmed

A-064	Government institutional involvement /	The family wants to know whether public bodies exchanged information or coordinated decisions concerning title, enforcement, listing, archaeology and development. Coordination is not itself improper; the issue is whether the documentary record contains undisclosed communications material to the case.	Cadw, Wales Office, Council, GGAT/Heneb, HMLR, police and court correspondence; FOI/EIR responses.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — communications test
A-065	BP and public-interest/state connection	Historical BP ownership/government-shareholding facts may be relevant to the family's question about institutional relationships, but shareholding alone does not establish government direction or involvement in the Great House Farm matter. ?	Corporate records; parliamentary records; contemporary government/BP correspondence if any.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — contextual only unless direct evidence emerges
A-066	Physical destruction as evidence-loss event	The demolition removed the standing building that could have been used to settle disputed architectural and historical questions. The question is what records, measured surveys, photographs and salvage material were created before or after demolition.	RCAHMW survey; Cadw photos; demolition records; rubble observations; press photographs; archaeological records.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-067	1994 excavation and archaeological significance	The 1994 preliminary and 2005 published burial totals are recorded on Archaeology and Heritage . Does the later archaeological evidence materially change what should be inferred about the site's significance in 1988–90?	1994 preliminary report; 2005 publication; HER records; 1990 assessment.	25 Sep 2026.	Open — significance/counterfactual question

A-068	1990–1994 planning condition compliance	Did the archaeological conditions attached to planning require the later work to follow through the findings of the 1990 assessment, and was the eventual excavation outcome properly fed back into planning and HER records? (See also PL-006.)	Planning conditions 16–18 or equivalent; 1990 report; 1993–94 correspondence; discharge/monitoring documents.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-069	Heritage record contradictions	Different institutions now hold records which do not align on the building's status, surviving photographs, field record and the description of what was known before demolition. The task is to reconcile the institutional record rather than choose the most favourable account.	Cadw; RCAHMW; Heneb /HER; Amgueddfa Cymru; TNA; Vale planning archive.	25 Sep 2026.	Open
A-070	Cross-record convergence test	The final question is whether independently sourced anomalies converge on a common documentary explanation, or whether they resolve into unrelated archive loss, ordinary editorial practice, indexing error, or historical administrative practice.	All entries in this register and the Missing Records Register. ?	25 Sep 2026.	Open — final synthesis only after primary records are tested

A-071	HMLR inspection / preservation chronology	Physical inspection and preservation of the historic registration files have been requested repeatedly since the 25 May 2026 stage-2 decision; the 30 July schedule omits the 1969 root and 1971 abstract; the 21 September “closed in 2005” statement conflicts with the registration chronology. Full chronology: Land Registry Titles, 2026 .	Records Chronology ; Access HMLR stage-2 decision and 30 July schedule. See M-050.	25 May–25 Sep 2026	Open — inspection and preservation requests outstanding
-------	---	--	--	--------------------	---

2.2 New planning anomalies — 28 September 2026

These entries record the planning... .. read more

These entries record the planning discoveries as separately traceable questions. Where a PL entry overlaps an A entry, the cross-reference is given in brackets. Planning background: [Redevelopment](#).

ID	Anomaly	Question	Evidence lead	Status
PL-001	No section 52 / 106 instrument located	Was there any historical planning agreement, deed, undertaking or land-charge entry connected to 89/01396/OUT?	Planning file; legal-agreement indexes; historic land charges.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-002	Policy H.2 retention statement versus demolished buildings (cf. A-005)	How did the Council reconcile an adopted plan saying the buildings should be retained with their disappearance before determination of the housing application?	East Vale Local Plan H.2; officer report; demolition /planning records.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-003	Missing Appendix B after Council/GGAT discussions (cf. A-048) ?	What did GGAT say on 8 February 1990 and did that communication alter the route from non-determination to conditional permission?	Appendix B; Council /GGAT correspondence; committee papers.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-004	Missing committee annexes C–E (cf. A-003, A-048) ?	What did the public-interest representations and the applicants’ response to GGAT actually say?	Appendices C, D and E; Council and Henneb /GGAT records.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-005	GGAT member / County Councillor representation (cf. A-049)	Who was the councillor, what authority did they represent, and what governance steps, if any, followed the disclosed membership?	Appendix D; council membership records; GGAT governance records.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-006	1990 archaeology conditions and 1994 discharge dispute (cf. A-068)	What do the complete conditions 16–18 and discharge records establish about the intended scope of archaeological mitigation?	Decision notice; discharge letters; 1993–94 correspondence.	28 Sep 2026 — open

PL-007	1992 known archaeology but no new condition	Why did the reserved-matters approval add no further archaeological condition after GGAT reported confirmed deposits and human bone? [?]	92/00671/RES report; 27 Jul 1992 GGAT letter; continuation sheet.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-008	1990 archaeological zoning versus 1994 discovery (cf. A-045, A-046)	Was the lower-potential zoning justified on the knowledge available in 1990, including GGAT's earlier work?	1978–80 archaeology; 1982 letter; 1990 assessment; 1994 excavation.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-009	1983 date discrepancy in 1990 report	What was the source of the incorrect demolition year (the demolition was in 1988) and did it affect any later record?	GGAT 1990 assessment; HER/planning records.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-010	1972 planning-history continuity	Does the complete 1972 permission explain why the site was subsequently treated differently in 1989–92?	1972 planning file and plans; 1989 planning-history entry.	28 Sep 2026 — open

2.3 Legal references

Takhar v Gracefield Developments Ltd [2019]... ... [read more](#)

Takhar v Gracefield Developments Ltd [2019] UKSC 13 · Limitation Act 1980, s.32 · HM Land Registry Practice Guide 83 · HM Land Registry Practice Guide 39.

2.4 Supplementary planning anomalies — 28 September 2026

These entries extend the anomaly register... ... [read more](#)

These entries extend the anomaly register following the additional planning-framework and chronology checks.

ID	Anomaly	Question	Evidence lead	Status
PL-011	1992 applicant / 1993 transfer sequence	Ideal Homes Wales appears in the 1992 reserved-matters trail, while BP's transfer to Ideal Homes is dated 3 December 1993 (later dealings). What documentary interest or arrangement explains the earlier application?	92/00671/RES; 89/01396/OUT; historic register; planning / developer correspondence.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-012	1988 environmental-assessment screening	The outline site was about 3.4 acres; the 1988 AEE regime used a 0.5-hectare threshold for urban development projects. Did the Council screen the application and record the determination?	1988 AEE Regulations; 89/01396/OUT; officer report; Welsh Office correspondence.	28 Sep 2026 — open
PL-013	Historic planning-register completeness	Does the statutory planning register contain applications, plans or decision material missing from the surviving 2026 file? [?]	Historic planning register; application indexes; 89/01396/OUT; 92/00671/RES.	28 Sep 2026 — open

PL-014	Archaeological-interest consultation route	Given the 1988 Order’s definition of “site of archaeological interest”, what consultation route was actually recorded and are all consultation responses present?	1988 GDO; GGAT; Council consultation log; planning register.	28 Sep 2026 — open
--------	--	---	--	--------------------

2.5 Page version & change log

Current version: 0.1.1

Version	Date (UTC)	Editor (human or agent name)	Change	Source / evidence
0.1.1	2026-10-18T15:18Z	Sion’s Tab agent	Footer standardised; earlier log rows carried into this table; no content change	Editing Protocol, 18 Oct 2026
0.1.0	2026-10-18T14:27:00+01:00	OpenAI-assisted maintenance agent (GPT-6)	Installed footer log and began semantic-version tracking at 0.1.0; this does not reconstruct earlier revisions. CURRENT VERSION: 0.1.0.	Saved through Word-Press content API; public rendering not individually checked.

Agents and editors: follow the [Editing Protocol](#).