

Register Additions — 6 October 2026 (A-074 to A-087)

Version 1.0.0 · 2026-10-23

Editors of the Great House Farm Legal Wiki

Table of Contents

1	Release notes	1
1.1	This release (version 1.0.0).	1
1.2	Older versions	1
2	Record	1
2.1	Page version & change log.	4

Page 1385 of the Great House Farm Legal Wiki, carried over from the version last modified on 2026-10-21. The same page is published at research.bucklerfamily.estate, and this version is also a [PDF](#) in the wayback archive.

1 Release notes

1.1 This release (version 1.0.0)

- **2026-Oct-23** - Carried over to the docs library from Legal Wiki page 1385 (last modified 2026-10-21 19:35:01 UTC). The page text, dates and references are as on the wiki. Links to wiki pages lead to the same page on research.bucklerfamily.estate; images are links to their files on the wiki.

1.2 Older versions

None yet.

2 Record

This material now lives at <https://fcg.bucklerfamily.estate/case/additions-2026-10-06>.

Page ID: 1385 · **Title:** Register Additions — 6 October 2026 (A-074 to A-087) · **Evidence status:** Not yet labelled — see the Editing Protocol · **Version:** 1.0.0 · [Editing Protocol](#)

This page adds fourteen issues to the [Master Scrutiny Issue Register](#), continuing its numbering from A-073. They arise from the [Case Analysis](#) of 5 October 2026 and the [Legal Review — Fraud, Land and Human Rights](#) of 6 October 2026, which read the two family forensic reports (Cadw v8.0.0; GGAT v4.0.3) against the rest of the record. The register’s rules apply: “Open” means unresolved on the present record, not a finding of wrongdoing; a missing record is not proof of concealment. [?]

ID	Issue	Question / concern	Evidence lead	Status
A-074	WA240304 — the farmhouse title in Mary's last weeks	The 1987 judgment ties the May 1975 conveyance to the farmhouse and garden; WA240304 was registered on it. Linklaters applied on 23 February 1983, certifying no question or doubt affecting title, while Mary's 1974 plea of ownership was undecided. Mary died on 26 March 1983. The file holds correspondence of 8 and 12 April 1983 and a form D23 marked "inside exam". What did HMLR ask about occupation or adverse claims, and what was answered?	WA240304 items 4, 5, 7, 10 and 11 (form OC2)	Open — documents orderable now
A-075	Land Registration Act 1925 s.115	The 1925 Act made fraudulent concealment of a document or fact material to title in registration proceedings an offence. Whether anything in the 1982–83 applications engages it is a legal test to apply once the file is read.	As A-074; statute text	Open — legal test, no finding
A-076	Estoppel, abuse of process and the new-evidence exception	Title was not argued in 1984–87. Any title claim now meets <i>Henderson v Henderson</i> abuse and issue-estoppel arguments. The exception is special circumstances such as new material that could not have been found with reasonable diligence (<i>Arnold v National Westminster Bank</i> [1991] 2 AC 93). When and how did the family obtain each title document?	Family records of when the 1938 conveyance, 1939 tenancy and other papers were obtained	Open — counsel
A-077	The 1939 plan and the 1987 limitation premise	The court started the clock in February 1955 on the basis that the farmhouse was within Frederick's tenancy. If WGR's May 1939 tenancy plan excludes the house, adverse possession against WGR ran from 1938–39 and would have completed in about 1951, before the 1955 order.	1939 tenancy and plan (family holds); 1938 conveyance and plan (family holds)	Open — upload scans

A-078	Record losses clustered around proceedings	Family papers c.1950–52 (before the 1955 action); the library copy of the Bute–Thomas deed in 1984 (the year of the writ); RCAHMW’s Johns–Buckler correspondence “since 1986 /87” (trial and appeal). Is there a file-movement, loan or administrative record for any of these?	RCAHMW administrative files; Cardiff Library records; A-080	Open — timing only; no causal finding
A-079	Stolen chattels are not time-barred	Limitation Act 1980 s.4: no limitation period runs in respect of a stolen chattel, except against a later good-faith purchaser. If the 1950–52 papers (or 1988 contents) were stolen, the family’s ownership survives and their return can be requested from any holder.	Requests to BP Properties, BP p.l.c. and the BP Archive, Linklaters, any WGR successor and any successor of Mr Knapp’s firm	Open — action available now
A-080	Mr Knapp and Bruce Sutherland	Who was Mr Knapp (Penarth estate agent and lay preacher, 1950), whom did he act for, and was he connected to WGR, the Bute estate office or their solicitors? Is the lodger Bruce Sutherland’s conviction (c.1951–52) recorded?	Kelly’s Glamorgan directories; Penarth petty sessions registers (Glamorgan Archives); British Newspaper Archive; probate calendars	Open
A-081	The 1974 licence from a not-yet-owner	The second 31 October 1974 letter came from BP Properties, which held only a contract to buy until the May 1975 conveyance, after BP Pension Trust’s action stalled on Mary’s ownership plea. What did each BP company know of the plea when it wrote?	The letters; the 1974–75 sale contract; BP and Linklaters files	Open
A-082	1988 public statements and the court record	BP said Mr Buckler “lost the court hearing in Cardiff and did not appeal”; the press said Mary “lost three previous High Court cases claiming she was the rightful owner”. No court decided her ownership, and the 1986 decision was appealed.	N07; N15	Documented (press)

A-083	Ownership of finds and the Church Farm question	Objects found in land belong to the landowner (<i>Waverley BC v Fletcher</i> [1996] QB 334). The 1994 assemblage was donated by Ideal Homes Wales (95.56H). Where did “Llandough Church Farm” stand, where the 1858 spur, spearhead and about six skeletons were found, and was it ever part of the Great House holding?	Parcel overlay (GGAT report IV.4); tithe and estate maps; Museum file 63.24	Open
A-084	The woodland’s two strands and the 1994 consideration	WA735527 holds land tinted blue (from the 1975 farm-house conveyance) and pink (from the 1982 conveyance). Did the Forest of Cardiff pay value in 1994? A volunteer does not have a purchaser’s protection under Limitation Act 1980 s.32(3) or LRA 2002 s.29.	10 November 1994 transfer; WA735527 title plan	Open
A-085	VG41 ownership section	The village green VG41 was registered on 16 June 1970 under the Commons Registration Act 1965, whose registers had an ownership section; unclaimed land could be vested in the local authority (s.8). Who claimed to own VG41, and on what evidence?	Commons register for VG41; Commons Commissioner decision file	Open
A-086	The 1994 burial-licence lapse	The Home Office licence to the excavator expired on 21 April 1994 and was unrenewed at 3 May. What was excavated or removed in that window, and under what authority? Removal without a licence was an offence under the Burial Act 1857 s.25 (text as in force in 1994).	Home Office licence file; CAT site diary; GGAT letters of 22 April and 3 May 1994	Open
A-087	“County treasure” — conflicting attribution	The Cadw report attributes the phrase to BP Properties’ 1989 redevelopment documentation; the GGAT report quotes GGAT’s 1989 consultee report (“was listed as a ‘county treasure’”). Which document says it, in what words?	Page images of both 1989 documents (Vale file 89/01396/OUT)	Open — source conflict

Audit rule. When any of these is resolved, the question stays here with the answer, the document that answers it and the date.

2.1 Page version & change log

Current version: 1.0.0

2 Record

Version	Date (UTC)	Editor (human or agent name)	Change	Source / evidence
1.0.0	2026-10-18T15:27Z	Sion's Tab agent	Footer standardised; no content change	Editing Protocol, 18 Oct 2026

Agents and editors: follow the [Editing Protocol](#).