

Date (UTC)	2026-01-28 06:43:18
From	Sion Buckler
Subject	For the Attention of Group General Counsel – BP Properties Ltd v Buckler [1987] EWCA Civ 2
Organisations	BP
Record	https://wayback.bucklerfamily.estate/mail/gm-19c0357a12530057.txt
Note	Original email in the family's Gmail (read-only export); contact details redacted.

Dear Group General Counsel,

I am writing in connection with the historical case of BP Properties Ltd v Buckler [1987] EWCA Civ 2, involving my late grandmother, Mrs. Buckler, and later my father as parties.

This is a courtesy notification rather than the initiation of any formal proceedings. In reviewing family records and related documents, I have uncovered substantial evidence suggesting material inconsistencies in the facts presented during the original 1974 proceedings and the 1987 appeal. These include questions around BP Properties Ltd's title and capacity to issue the 1974 unilateral licence at the time it was granted, as the underlying ownership dispute appears to have been adjourned without resolution. Additionally, there are indications of procedural irregularities, such as the characterization of Mrs. Buckler's responses (including her assertion of ownership), inconsistent treatment of corporate entities (BP Pension Trust Ltd and BP Properties Ltd), and potential unsubstantiated claims regarding public or media involvement that influenced the case's direction.

These findings raise concerns under the Limitation Act 1980 s.32 regarding deliberate concealment or fraud, as well as broader issues of procedural fairness that could warrant reopening the matter under CPR 52.30 (Taylor v Lawrence principles). I am in the process of compiling a full evidential dossier and consulting on potential next steps, which may include a formal application to the Court of Appeal.

Given the case's significance in land law precedent and BP's historical context as a partially state-owned entity at the time, I recognize this could carry reputational implications today. Before pursuing any public avenues—such as sharing the story via social media, documentary formats, or legal escalation—I believe it is appropriate to extend BP the opportunity for early, private dialogue. I am open to exploring a mutually agreeable resolution, potentially including confidential terms, to address the perceived historical injustices without further publicity or litigation.

I would appreciate confirmation of receipt and an indication within 14 days as to whether BP is prepared to engage in preliminary discussions. This would allow me to determine the most constructive path forward.

Yours sincerely,

Sion Buckler [phone redacted]